

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/709,199	<b>Applicant(s)</b> THOMPSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ehud Gartenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kurt VanNoorhies.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2005
**Time:** AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Short 3,734,639, Condevaux 2005/0039463, Craig 3550372

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the ENTIRE prior art of record.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)